

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/649,810 | 08/28/2003 | Ming-Shun Yang | BHT/3245-2 | 5996 |
| 75 | 590 04/18/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| BRUCE H. TI | ROXELL | | WEAVER | R, SUE A |
| SUITE 1404 5205 LEESBUI | RG PIKE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041 | | | 3727 . | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 04/18/2009 | s |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| | 10/649,810 | YANG, MING-SHUN |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| · | Sue A. Weaver | 3727 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja | nuary 2004. | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | - |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 33 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | - |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11. | a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | · 🚍 | • |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | |

Art Unit: 3727

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show 1. the handle on the storage bag claimed in claim 16 as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even ifonly one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). Ifthe changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

Replacement Drawing Sheets

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or

Art Unit: 3727

remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next—Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Page 3

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

Annotated Drawing Sheets

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There doesn't appear to be any Brief Description for figures 11A-11E or 12A-12E.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/649,810

Art Unit: 3727

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McGrath, Jr. 793.

Note the cover at Figure 13 showing a pair of rings and hook belt fasteners at 24,26 and 28. Bungees like fasteners are elastic.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set—forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McNabb in view of McGrath, Jr '793.

McNabb teaches a cover with wire rings (20) which are sequentially spaced on the cover, as claimed. Note that the cover also has straps at the edge to be secure to a vehicle. To have provided the cover with adjustable belts having hook fasteners would have been obvious in view of such teaching by McGrath, Jr. To have further provided the cover with an extension skirt to cover more of the vehicle body would have been obvious in view of the alternate embodiments taught by McGrath, Jr.

5. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Huang '262.

. . --

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/649,810

Art Unit: 3727

To have used a tubular connector to secure the ends of the metal rings together and attached them with a sleeve stitched to the cover would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Huang as shown in Figures 1 and 8.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Rudys et al.

To have secured the cover to the vehicle with elastic at the corners for securing to the font and rear would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Rudys et al at 63, 76.

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Birdsell.

To have secured the hook strap with an adjusting buckle to adjust the length would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Birdsell at 40.

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Nishiyama.

To have formed the hook belt fasteners as a plate with an upward extended hook and a through hole for the belt would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Nishiyama at 24 in Figure 10.

9. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over — the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sage.

To have stored the folded cover in a flat storage bag with an handle or optionally a shoulder strap would have been obvious in view of Sage teaching the sue of a storage bag for vehicle covers.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/649,810

Art Unit: 3727

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Curchod, Chen, Daniel and MacDonald show other vehicle covers with hook straps. McGrath, Jr. '086 and Huang '460 show other expandable.' covers with rings.
- 11. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703)_____ - ____ on _____.

(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

| Art | lin | it· | 37 | 797 | |
|----------|-----|------|----|-----|--|
| \neg ı | OH: | III. | U | ~ 1 | |

| <u> </u> | | | |
|-------------------|-----|-------------|-----------------|
| | | | |
| Signature: | | | |
| | | | |
| Registration Numb | er: | | |

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is 571 272-4548. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SW

Finan Eranings